



doi: 10.31729/jnma.4787

Mobile Phone Dependence among Undergraduate Students of a Medical College of Eastern Nepal: A Descriptive Cross-sectional Study

Kriti Thapa,¹ Sami Lama,¹ Rita Pokharel,¹ Rambha Sigdel,² Surya Prasad Rimal³

¹Department of Psychiatric Nursing, B.P. Koirala Institute of Health Sciences, Dharan, Nepal, ²Department of Community Health Nursing, B.P. Koirala Institute of Health Sciences, Dharan, Nepal, ³Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, B.P. Koirala Institute of Health Sciences, Dharan, Nepal.

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Mobile phones are becoming increasingly indispensable in daily life of the students which has resulted in mobile phone dependence. The objective of the study was to find the prevalence of mobile phone dependence among undergraduate students of a medical college of Eastern Nepal.

Methods: A descriptive cross-sectional study was conducted from October 2016 to March 2017 on a total of 390 undergraduate students aged between 17 and 25 years using stratified sampling technique. Students using mobile phones for more than one year was included in the study. Students were requested to complete a pretested self-administered questionnaire which comprised their socio-demographic characteristics, pattern of mobile phone usage and mobile phone addiction index developed by Leung.

Results: The prevalence of mobile phone dependence among the undergraduate students was found to be 85 (21.8%). Mobile phone dependence was found to be related with time spend on mobile; calls per day, money spend on recharge per month and years of ownership of mobile phone. There was no difference between males and females with regard to mobile phone dependence.

Conclusions: The present study found that mobile phone dependence was common among the undergraduate medical students. These results suggest the need to develop educational programme to educate the students to use mobile phone meaningfully.

Keywords: dental students; dependence; medical students; mobile phones; nursing students.

INTRODUCTION

Mobile phone use has become a significant part of students life.¹ Usage of mobile phones is not intended for negative purposes and influence; however, the attitude and time channelled toward these devices has enslaved the students, making them addicts.² In the recent times, the concept of behavioural addiction has gained significant attention.³⁻⁵ Behavioural addiction for mobile phones has been variously termed as mobile phone dependence, mobile phone problematic use, problem cell phone use, mobile phone abuse and nomophobia.⁶⁻⁹

Studies show that excessive mobile phones use can be associated with different aspects or problems of mental health such as anxiety, depression,^{7,8} low self-esteem,⁴ internet addiction,⁹ high impulsivity,¹⁰ loneliness, social

isolation¹¹ etc. Students do not necessarily realize their level of dependence to their cell phones.¹

The objective of this study was to find out the prevalence of mobile phone dependence among undergraduate students of a medical university of Eastern Nepal.

METHODS

A descriptive cross-sectional study was conducted from October 2016 to March 2017 among undergradu-

Correspondence: Kriti Thapa, Department of Psychiatric Nursing, B.P. Koirala Institute of Health Sciences, Dharan, Nepal. Email: thapakriti04@gmail.com, Phone: +977-9846083150.

ate students of a medical college in Eastern Nepal. The study was approved by Institutional Review Committee of the college on 24th July 2016. Written informed consent was taken from each participants and confidentiality was maintained.

Sample size was calculated using the formula;

$$n = Z^2 \times p \times q / e^2$$

$$= (1.96)^2 \times 0.396 \times (1-0.396) / (0.05)^2$$

$$= 368$$

Where,

n = required sample size

p = prevalence of mobile phone dependence (taken as 39.6 based on the study done by Aggrawal et al. in India)

q = 1-p

e = margin of error, 5%

Z = 1.96 at 95 % CI

Adding the 10% non-response rate, the sample size that was taken is 405.

A total of 405 students (MBBS, BDS and B.Sc. Nursing) were selected randomly using stratified sampling technique and 15 were excluded from the study due to the incompletely filled questionnaire. Students who were using mobile phones for more than one year were included. Students were requested to complete a pre-tested self-administered questionnaire which comprised their socio-demographic characteristics, pattern of mobile phone usage and a standard 17 items Mobile phone addiction index (MPAI) developed by Leung. The students having scores higher than 51 were considered dependent on mobile. The data were compiled and analysed in SPSS version 16 and expressed as frequency and percentages.

RESULTS

The prevalence of mobile phone dependence among the undergraduate students was found to be 85 (21.8%) (Table 1).

Characteristics	Category	Number of respondents n (%)
Mobile phone dependence	Dependent	85 (21.8)
	Non-dependent	305 (78.2)
Mean MPAI score \pm SD = 41.3 \pm 13.6		

Table 2 depicts the association of mobile phone dependence with selected socio-demographic variables (Table 2). Mobile phone was found to be related to years of ownership of mobile phone.

Table 2. Pattern of mobile phone dependence with selected socio demographic variables. (n = 390)

Variables	Mobile phone dependence		
	Not dependence	Dependent	
Age (in years)	17-19	33	10
	20-22	184	54
	23-25	88	21
Sex	Male	138	40
	Female	167	45
Owned mobile phone (in years)	1-3	86	11
	4-6	138	42
	> 6	81	32
Department	B.sc Nursing	48	13
	MBBS	186	43
	BDS	71	29

Table 3 reveals the association of mobile phone dependence with pattern of mobile phone use. Mobile phone dependence was found to be related with time spend on mobile, calls per day and money spend on recharge per month (Table 3).

Table 3. Pattern of mobile phone dependence with pattern of mobile phone use.

Variables	Mobile phone dependence		
	Not dependent	Dependent	
Time spend on mobile (in hours)	1-2	107	9
	2-4	115	28
	4-6	44	29
	> 6	39	19
Calls per day	1-5	228	49
	6-10	47	24
	> 10	30	12
SMS per day	0	91	31
	1-4	37	168
	5-8	20	7
	> 8	26	10
Money spend on recharge per month (in Rs)	< Rs. 500	175	21
	Rs. 500-1000	111	50
	> Rs. 1000	19	14

Table 4 shows the pattern of mobile phone use among the BDS and B.Sc. Nursing students (Table 4). The time of maximum use of mobile phone by the students was in the evening. The average amount of money spend

was Rs. 400. Majority of the students used the mobile phone for internet use.

Table 4. Pattern of mobile phone use among the respondents.

Characteristics	Category	BDS, n	B.Sc. Nursing, n	Total n (%)
Time spent per day	1-2 hours	28	21	49 (30.4)
	2-4 hours	37	24	61 (37.9)
	4-6 hours	19	12	31 (19.3)
	> 6 hours	16	4	20 (12.4)
	Median: 3 (5-2)			
Calls per day	1-5	76	59	135 (83.9)
	6-10	15	2	17 (10.6)
	> 10	9	0	9 (5.6)
	Median: 3 IQR (4.5-2)			
SMS per day	40	11	51 (31.6)	51 (31.6)
	48	47	95 (59)	51 (31.6)
	4	2	6 (3.7)	95 (59)
	7	2	9 (5.6)	6 (3.7)
	Median: 1 IQR (2.0-0.0)			
Money spent on recharge per month	<Rs. 500	48	37	
	Rs. 500-1000	46	20	85 (52.8)
	>Rs. 1000	6	4	66 (41)
	Median: 400 IQR (725-200)			
Time of maximum use	Morning	2	0	2 (1.24)
	Afternoon	6	1	8 (4.3)
	Evening	44	21	65 (40.3)
	Night	65	41	106 (65.8)
Place/Situation of maximum use	Class-room	4	0	4 (2.4)
	Library	6	0	6 (3.7)
	Eating	6	2	8 (4.9)
	Driving	0	0	0
	Room	98	61	159 (98.7)

Reason for use	Calling family members	86	54	140 (87)
Calling friends	76	46	122 (75.7)	
Messaging	46	28	74 (46)	
Internet use	92	57	149 (92.5)	
Playing games	54	23	77 (47.8)	
Listening to music	55	48	103 (63.9)	
Taking photos/videos	50	46	96 (59.6)	
Study purpose	2	15	17 (10.5)	

In this study, majority of the participants, i.e. 99 (61.5%) were in the age group 20-22 with mean age of 21.20 ± 1.6 years. Among the total participants most of them, 83 (51.6%) have owned mobile phones since 4-6 years. Among the total participants 153 (39.2%) self-rated themselves to be addicted to mobile phones. Table 5 shows the demographic characteristics of the study population (Table 5).

Table 5. Socio-Demographic Variables of the respondents.

Characteristics	Category	Number of students n (%)
Sex	Male	34 (21.1)
	Female	127 (78.9)
Type of family	Joint	22 (13.7)
	Nuclear	139 (86.3)
Family income status	Bad	4 (2.5)
	Average	122 (75.8)
	Good	35 (21.7)
Department	B.sc Nursing	61 (37.9)
	BDS	100 (62.1)
Academic year	1st year	36 (22.4)
	2nd year	35 (21.7)
	3rd year	35 (21.7)
	4th year	37 (23.0)
	5th year	18 (11.2)

DISCUSSION

Although, smartphone use has been increasing across all sectors, university students have been seen as the largest consumer group of smartphone services.¹² Despite the many interesting and useful functions that the mobile phone fulfils in modern society, maladaptive use of mobile phones has been identified, and has been linked with psychological dysfunction, health problems and even psychiatric disorders.¹³ Mobile phone dependence can be considered as a new diagnostic entity as it has properties of excessive use, withdrawal, tolerance and negative repercussions.⁶

Among the total participants of the study, 39.2% self-rated themselves to be addicted to mobile phones. This study found that around 1/4th of the students (21.8%) had mobile phone dependence which is similar to study done among undergraduates medical students in Maharashtra, India where the prevalence was 24.65%.¹⁴

Different studies conducted among undergraduate medical students in India have found a wide variety of prevalence of mobile phone dependence. The reported prevalence are 39.5% in Bangalore,¹⁵ 39.9% in Delhi,¹⁶ 42.6% in West Bengal,¹⁷ 62% in Tamilnadu and Kerala,¹⁸ 71.39%¹⁹ and 82.1%²⁰ in two studies done in Maharashtra. A study done in China and Malaysia among medical students found the prevalence of mobile phone dependence to be 29.8%¹⁰ and 47.7%²¹ respectively. Similarly, the prevalence of excessive mobile phone was 36.7% in a medical university in Iran.¹¹

These discrepancies could be due to the different instruments and classification method used. Moreover, the inconsistencies might be due to the differences among participants in different studies. Yet, these all findings have confirmed that mobile phone dependence is present among the students and is wide spread. The wide prevalence rate identified in various studies is an indicator that it is a potential health concern.

Mean score of mobile phone dependence was found to be 41.3 ± 13.6 in this study which is comparable with the findings of the study done by Leung on 402 adolescents in Hongkong where mean score was found to be 39.93 ± 12.74 .²² A study done in Japan and West Bengal, India found the mean score of mobile phone dependence to be 23.6 ± 9.123 and 26.5 ± 9.124 respectively. The difference in score could be due to the different instruments used.

This study didn't reveal association between age and mobile phone dependence. This is similar to the findings reported by some previous studies.^{14,18,20} In contrast, study by AzraDaei et al.²⁵ and Mayakal et al.¹⁹ found

that age was significantly associated with mobile phone dependence. It should be noted, however, participants in the study were aged between 17 and 25. Thus, the younger age group in this study may be a possible explanation for this finding, because previous studies have provided substantial evidence for the effect of age on mobile phone dependence with young individuals being more likely to demonstrate such behaviours. Yet, the association between age and mobile phone dependence is yet to be clarified by future studies using broader age groups.

There was no significant association between sex with mobile phone dependence in this study. This is similar to the results obtained in several studies.^{10,14-16,18,20} Bianchi and Phillips⁴ stated that there is no difference between male and females with regard to mobile phone addiction.

However, some studies^{11,17} have reported that female participants have a higher prevalence of mobile phone dependence than males. In contrast, a study in Iran²⁵ found the prevalence of mobile phone dependence to be more in males. Bianchi and Phillips⁴ suggested that females use mobile for social reasons while males for technology and work. There is still a need for further studies to unravel the inconsistent prevalence of mobile phone dependence in males and females.

This study revealed that duration of mobile phone ownership was significantly associated with mobile phone dependence which is consistent with the finding of the study done by Yildirim et al. in Turkey.²⁶ This contradicts with a study done by Jain et al. in central India.¹⁴ The mobile phone dependence is significant with the time spend on mobile phone which is similar to the study done in university students by Jain et al. in central India,¹⁴ Pathivara et al. in Bangalore¹⁵ and Cagan et al. in Turkey.²⁶ Choliz stated that mobile phone addiction was determined in the individual whose duration of mobile phone use is approximately two hours.²⁷ With the introduction of facility to use internet and social networking sites on mobile phones, the duration spent on mobile phones has considerably increased in turn, increasing the mobile phone addiction.¹⁸

Kuss et al. found that calls per day were a significant predictor for the presence of mobile phone dependence.²⁸ This is consistent with the finding of this study. A study by Myakal et al. in Maharashtra, India found that as money spent per month on mobile phone increased, there was increasing trend of mobile phone dependence.¹⁹ Similarly, study by Choudhury et al. in West Bengal India found that total recharge in mobile is positively associated with dependence.²⁴ This is in accordance with the findings of this study.

This study showed no significant association of mobile phone dependence with the academic year which is similar to the study done by Dixit et al. in Indore.²⁹ This is contrary to the findings of some studies. Studies done by Dimple et al.²⁰ and D.R. et al.¹⁸ found first year as an independent risk factor for mobile dependence whereas a study done by Myakal et al.¹⁹ and Dasgupta et al.¹⁷ found that mobile phone dependence was higher in third year medical students.

Limitation of the present study is that the results are dependent on the assumption that the students gave honest responses to the questionnaire, as it was self-administered.

CONCLUSIONS

The present study found that mobile phone dependence was common among the undergraduate students and is associated with time spent on mobile in a day, calls per day, money spend on recharge per month and years of ownership of mobile phone. There was no difference between males and females with regard to mobile phone dependence. These results suggest the need to develop educational programme to educate the students to use mobile phone meaningfully.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Authors acknowledge the support of students for sharing their valuable information in this study.

Conflict of Interest: None.

REFERENCES

1. Roberts J, Yaya L, Manolis C. The invisible addiction: cell-phone activities and addiction among male and female college students. *J Behav Addict.* 2014;3(4):254-65. [[PubMed](#) | [Full Text](#) | [DOI](#)]
2. Esemnaka E. Usage and impact of internet enabled phones on academic concentration among students of tertiary institutions: A study at University of Ibadan, Nigeria. *Int J Educ Dev Using Inf Commun Technol.* 2013;9:162-73. [[Full Text](#)]
3. Bianchi A, Phillips JG. Psychological predictors of problem mobile phone use. *Cyberpsychol Behav.* 2005;8(1):39-51. [[PubMed](#) | [Full Text](#) | [DOI](#)]
4. Cholz M. Mobile phone addiction: a point of issue. *Addiction.* 2010;105(2):373-4. [[PubMed](#) | [Full Text](#) | [DOI](#)]
5. Singh BM. Cell phone dependence-a new diagnostic entity. *Delhi Psychiatry J.* 2008 Oct;11(2):123-4. [[Full Text](#)]
6. Bragazzi N, Del Puente G. A proposal for including nomophobia in the new DSM-V. *Psychol Res Behav Manag.* 2014;7:155-60. [[PubMed](#) | [Full Text](#) | [DOI](#)]
7. Chen B, Liu F, Ding S, Ying X, Wang L, Wen Y. Gender differences in factors associated with smartphone addiction: a cross-sectional study among medical college students. *BMC Psychiatry.* 2017 Oct 10;17(1):341-9. [[PubMed](#) | [Full Text](#) | [DOI](#)]
8. Demirci K, Akgonul M, Akpinar A. Relationship of smartphone use severity with sleep quality, depression, and anxiety in university students. *J Behav Addict.* 2015;4(2):85-92. [[PubMed](#) | [Full Text](#) | [DOI](#)]
9. Ha JH, Chin B, Park D-H, Ryu S-H, Yu J. Characteristics of excessive cellular phone use in Korean adolescents. *Cyberpsychol Behav.* 2008;11(6):783-4. [[PubMed](#) | [Full Text](#) | [DOI](#)]
10. Mei S, Chai J, Wang S-B, Ng C, Ungvari G, Xiang Y-T. Mobile phone dependence, social support and impulsivity in Chinese University students. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2018;15(3):504. [[PubMed](#) | [Full Text](#) | [DOI](#)]
11. Tavakolizadeh J, Atarodi A, Ahmadvpour S, Pourghesiar A. The prevalence of excessive mobile phone use and its relation with mental health status and demographic factors among the students of Gonabad University of Medical Sciences in 2011 - 2012. *Razavi International Journal of Medicine.* 2014;2(1):15527. [[Full Text](#)]
12. Head M, Ziolkowski N. Understanding student attitudes of mobile phone features: rethinking adoption through conjoint, cluster and SEM analyses. *Computer in Human Behaviour.* 2012;28(6):2331-9. [[Full Text](#)]
13. Cholz M, Pinto L, Phansalkar SS, Corr E, Mujjahid A, Flores C, et al. Development of a Brief Multicultural Version of the Test of Mobile Phone Dependence (TMDbrief) Questionnaire. *Front Psychol.* 2016;7: 650. [[PubMed](#) | [Full Text](#) | [DOI](#)]
14. Jain P, Gedam SR, Patil PS. Study of smartphone addiction: prevalence, pattern of use, and personality dimensions among medical students from rural region of central India. *Open J Psychiatry Allied Sci.* 2019;10(2):132. [[Full Text](#)]
15. Pathivara MB, Madhukumar S, Murthy TS. A study on nomophobia-mobile phone dependence, among students of a medical college in Bangalore. *National Journal of Community Medicine.* 2015;6(2):340-4. [[Full Text](#)]
16. Basu S, Garg S, Singh MM, Kohli C. Addiction-like behavior associated with mobile phone usage among medical students in Delhi. *Indian J Psychol Med.* 2018;40(5):446-51. [[PubMed](#) | [Full Text](#) | [DOI](#)]

17. Dasgupta P, Bhattacharjee S, Dasgupta S, Roy J, Mukherjee A, Biswas R. Nomophobic behaviors among smartphone using medical and engineering students in two colleges of West Bengal. *Indian J Public Health*. 2017;61(3):199. [[PubMed](#) | [Full Text](#) | [DOI](#)]
18. D.R. K, Subhashini V, Padmini UV, Moorthy GK, Thangappan RK. Mobile phone dependence among medical students – a prospective study. *Indian Journal of Basic and Applied Medical Research*. 2018;8(1):613-8. [[Full Text](#)]
19. Myakal VV, Vedpathak VL. Nomophobia - mobile phone dependence, a study among students of a rural medical college. *Int J Community Med Public Health*. 2019;6(5):2034. [[Full Text](#)]
20. Dimple VK, Wadde SK, Gattani PL. Mobile phone dependence among undergraduate medical students in Nanded city. *Annals of Tropical Medicine and Public Health*. 2017;10(1):27-30. [[Full Text](#)]
21. Ithnain N, Ghazali SE, Jaafar N. Relationship between smartphone addiction with anxiety and depression among undergraduate students in Malaysia. *Int J Health Sci*. 2018;8(1):163-71. [[Full Text](#)]
22. Leung L. Linking psychological attributes to addiction and improper use of the mobile phone among adolescents in Hong Kong. *J Child Media*. 2008;2(2):93-113. [[Full Text](#)]
23. Toda M, Ezoe S. Multifactorial study of mobile phone dependence in medical students: Relationship to health-related lifestyle, type a behavior, and depressive state. *Open J Prev Med*. 2013;3(1):99-103. [[Full Text](#)]
24. Choudhury S, Saha I, Som TK, Ghose G, Patra M, Paul B. Mobile phone involvement and dependence among undergraduate medical students in a Medical College of West Bengal, India. *J Educ Health Promot*. 2019;8:1. [[PubMed](#) | [Full Text](#) | [DOI](#)]
25. Daei A, Ashrafi-rizi H, Soleymani M. Nomophobia and health hazards: smartphone use and addiction among university students. *Int J Prev Med*. 2019 Nov 28;10(1):202. [[PubMed](#) | [Full Text](#) | [DOI](#)]
26. Yildirim C, Sumuer E, Adnan M, Yildirim S. A growing fear: prevalence of nomophobia among Turkish college students. *Inf Dev*. 2016;32(5):1322-31. [[Full Text](#)]
27. Choliz M. Mobile phone addiction in adolescence: the test of mobile phone dependence (TMD). *Prog Health Sci*. 2012;2(1):33-44. [[Full Text](#)]
28. Kuss DJ, Kanjo E, Crook-Rumsey M, Kibowski F, Wang GY, Sumich A. Problematic mobile phone use and addiction across generations: the roles of psychopathological symptoms and smartphone use. *J Technol Behav Sci*. 2018;3(3):141-9. [[PubMed](#) | [Full Text](#) | [DOI](#)]
29. Dixit S, Shukla H, Bhagwat A, Bindal A, Goyal A, Zaidi A, et al. A study to evaluate mobile phone dependence among students of a medical college and associated hospital of central India. *Indian J Community Med*. 2010 Apr;35(2):339-41. [[Full Text](#) | [DOI](#)]

© The Author(s) 2018.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>