We have received the comments from the Reviewers. Your manuscript needs revision. Please find the comments below for revision of manuscript.

1. Please make sure whether this is observational study or retrospective study.

The study is a retrospective case record analysis and that has been indicated in the method section of revised manuscript.

1. What is the unit of mean time to analgesia?

The unit of time is minutes and that has been indicated in the revised manuscript.

1. Demographic dat is lacking.

A table (table 1) is included in the first part of result section with age and sex.

4. Statistical tool is lacking. What was your level of significance for comparison?

5. The description of table 5 is not clear.

For questions 4 and 5- Table 5 is table 6 in revised manuscript. The statistical analysis is now modified. We have used median with inter quartile range along with 1st and 3rd quartile. This was done as we felt that the data was skewed with maximum and minimum values affecting mean and IQR rather than standard deviation could explain the data better. The description has been simplified.

The comparison between night and day time mean values was not significant and value of significance is removed.

6. Objectives of the study in introduction is not well placed. It should be incorporated in sentences.

Done . The objectives have been added to introduction in sentences.

7. Concluding sentence of discussion is not clear.

The concluding sentence has been removed. The last paragraph now only includes limitation of the study as a discussion. A recommendation to perform a prospective interventional study has been added to the conclusion.

8. References are not uniform amnd many references are not in JNMA format.

Done according to Vancouver referencing and looking at articles of JNMA. Specific changes were reference no. after author’s name and using surname at beginning.

9. Grammatical errors should be taken care. It is advised to take help of language editor.

Whole of the manuscript was edited for language and grammatical errors. A detailed version with edited comment has been attached as document 3 and 4 for reference.

10. Were all patients consented before inclusion in the study?

The study complied with BPKIHS ethical committee guideline. However, informed consent was not necessary as secondary data was used with no direct involvement of patients.